1	think we, we can do something for you so that's what I did.
2	Q Just so the record is, is clear, the licensed
3	facilities for the AM station are 5kW omnidirectional during
4	the day and 5kW directional at night?
5	A That's correct.
6	Q And in order for the station to have returned to the
7	air using those parameters, it would have first have had to
8	have submitted an FCC Form 302.
9	A That's not quite correct. It's the nighttime
10	pattern because it's directional that the staff is interested
11	in in making sure that the monitor points, the other values it
12	operates with, haven't changed.
13	Q But in, in other words though, in order to operate
14	with those parameters, meaning 5kW omni during the day and 5,
15	and 5kW directional night a 302 was required.
16	A You need the 302 for the nighttime portion, you
17	don't need it for the daytime portion because it's
18	nondirectional during the daytime and the condition that they
19	had set down didn't address that.
20	Q In order to go on the air though, prior to
21	submitting the 302, you on behalf of CAVAN volunteered to
22	operate the station at a reduced power day and night.
23	A That's correct.
24	Q And that's, that's the reason for this STA. Is that
25	correct?

1	A	That's correct.
2	Q	Okay, and the grant of that STA is reflected in
3	Bureau Exh	aibit No. 17. Is that correct?
4	A	I believe that's correct, yes.
5	Q	Now, the date of the grant is March 9, 1994.
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	And the authority to operate with reduced power
8	expires at	the end of June in 1994. Is that correct?
9	A	That's correct.
10	Q	How soon after March 9 did the station commence
11	broadcasti	.ng?
12	A	I think it was last Friday. The engineer was up
13	there. He	finished his proof on the thing on the 18th so it
14	could have	been a day before, but
15	Q	Is it your testimony that a FCC Form 302 has been
16	filed with	the secretary's office?
17	A	That is correct.
18	Q	And is that FCC Form 302 reflected in Bureau Exhibit
19	No. 18?	
20	A	Yes. That's a copy of it.
21	Q	Was this filed with the FCC's secretary's office on
22	March 22,	1994?
23	A	No, that's the mailing date. I sent that by Federal
24	Express.	They I assume they would have got it yesterday.
25	Q	Do you have a stamped copy of the FCC Form 302?

1	A No, I don't. I, I don't. They only had it
2	yesterday. If they did they'd be sending it back to me in the
3	mail. I'm not, I'm not in Eugene, Oregon to get it.
4	Q There came a time when CAVAN requested a call sign
5	change to the Commission. Is that correct?
6	A Correct.
7	Q Do we have a copy in the record of that call sign
8	request?
9	A I don't know if we do or not. I believe I, I had a
10	provided a copy of the grant.
11	Q The grant?
12	A Yeah.
13	Q Yes, you did and the grant has been received as
14	attachment 0
15	A Right.
16	Q to your direct testimony. Incidentally, on
17	page 8 of your direct testimony, you testify that you
18	requested a call sign change to the call letters WEGO-AM.
19	A Well, that's
20	Q Is that a typo?
21	A that's a, that's a typo. It should be EGP.
22	Those were the old call letters of the station that it had
23	been traditionally known by before we went to TMS so we went
24	back to we thought it would be a good idea to give it a
25	call sign that had some community familiarity.

1	Q	The old call sign had been WEGP?
2	A	That is correct.
3		MR. HUTTON: Just for the record, I'll note that the
4	call sign	change request is contained in attachment L to CAVAN
5	Exhibit 1	•
6		BY MR. SCHONMAN:
7	Q	How many hours per day is the station broadcasting?
8	A	I've been told that they're doing it since it's a
9	satellite	feed that they're doing it round-the-clock.
10	Q	Twenty-four hours a day?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And the station is using the call sign WEGP?
13	A	As of the 18th.
14	Q	What repairs if any did CAVAN have to perform in
15	order to	get the AM station operational again?
16	A	They had to well, the tube we thought we lost we
17	had to get	t that, plus we had to get a series of others. And
18	when they	were testing it they had some capacitors or other
19	things tha	at didn't work. They found out it kept growing. I'm
20	not sure h	now much we have spent on it so far because I haven't
21	got the ba	ill back from the engineer who's done Mr. Soule
22	who's done	e all the work on it. He hasn't sent us the
23	statement	yet. But I do know we had to go on an extended
24	equipment	purchase/lease agreement with a group known as Part
25	Leasing to	o come up with the parts which was over ten grand.

1	And I had to personally guarantee that to get the credit to
2	get that equipment. And I don't know, there was, there was a
3	piece of FM equipment I got at the same time, I'm not sure
4	what component it was, but the majority of that money was
5	borrowed on my signature to get it back on the air. That's
6	just the parts. I don't know what, I don't know what my
7	engineering costs are going to be to do that and I'm probably
8	going to have to work out a payment plan with the guy.
9	Q I just want to make sure I understand what you're
10	saying what you're testifying to. CAVAN has spent or
11	committed to spend \$10,000 thus far?
12	A Over that. No, but I just don't have a firm figure.
13	I'm, I'm guessing, you know, just
14	Q Well, I don't want you to guess. If you're going to
15	make
16	A A reasonable estimate, a reasonable estimate to put
17	this station back on the air when, when that equipment is paid
18	for, \$12-, \$13,000. But it's not CAVAN's money. It's mine.
19	Q And that's about \$13,000 when all is said and done?
20	A As of date
21	Q Is that how much has been spent to get the station
22	back on the air?
23	A It's either been it's already been spent out of
24	pocket or it's been borrowed and spent.
25	Q Why did CAVAN not make this station operational

before the 18th of this month?

A Because our -- we didn't have the money and the reason I ventured to do this is because Mr. Schmersal who is now -- he, he really is the Lobster Network, he's the one who is going to be the real party with the LMAs to program it, and he an option to buy the station for I think \$35,000. We also have an arrangement that these monies that I'm telling you about we spent, that we will be -- I think it's compensated up to have reimbursement to get those back, that he will pick those up provided there's a station to be sold. But I never had a -- I mean, I, I never had the money to do it absent that and I had to borrow the money to get most of the equipment we needed to put it back on the air. I personally.

Q Why weren't the, the monumental efforts that you personally and CAVAN generally have made in the past few weeks, why weren't those efforts made before the release of the show cause order?

A Because the staff has continually allowed you to stay silent if you can show that you're making a good-faith effort to find a buyer and I thought we had been doing that. But when you get set for hearing, what I contended was a mistake to begin with because the records show that the telephone company says they transmitted that material to them which my belief is it would have justified an extension. I mean, we, we have pursued that. We had -- you know,

Mr. Schonman, one of the things that has been overlooked here 2 is that we had an agreement for the sale of both stations --3 we had entered into an agreement for the sale of -- I 4 shouldn't say an agreement because we never got it to ink --5 for the sale of both stations in 1992 to Four Seasons 6 Communications. They've been hung up in, in completing that 7 because they can't find the financing for it. They're, 8 they're the people who have an LMA with the FM station. And 9 we have gone around and around with those people in an effort, 10 you know, let's get this thing done. And we've been -- we'll, 11 we're working on this, we're working on that. And it was 12 after, it was after I had that agreement that, that I -- in 13 essence they said we're not going to be able to come up with 14 the money. So I've been -- I've -- and it's very difficult to 15 find a buyer for a stand-alone station. And Schmersal likes 16 -- he's from Bangor, Maine and he likes the, the concept of, 17 of an AM radio because I think in the last couple years he's become convinced that a radio talk format may well work up 18 19 Other small communities around the country there is 20 some indication that that's a very acceptable format to people 21 and that's what's been implemented. We got a radio talk 22 format. When he gets in there he's going to -- part of his 23 plan is to put a local flavor to it. Fact is, they've got a 24 person who's now doing some testing to see how well he can do it, kind of a local call-in talk show. And he's -- you know, 25

1	he's willing to, you know, to take that risk in, in that
2	venture. But, you know, finding a buyer for a stand-alone AM
3	station is, is no easy task. I mean, the I think there's
4	evidence in there that from some brokers and others who
5	they all tell you that. It's tough to find. Northern Maine
6	has been beset with economic problems. One of the problems
7	that's contributed to this is there is a large Air Force base,
8	Caribou Air Force Base, which is about 15 miles away which was
9	the largest single employer in the area and unfortunately it
10	made the Department of Defense's hit list about two years ago
11	which made the community all the more not attractive to bring
12	in a would be buyer. There's lots of things you can throw out
13	in mitigation, but it has been a very, very difficult time in
14	northern Maine.
15	Q Is the station now originating its own programming?
16	A It's satellite-fed.
17	Q What company is providing the programming?
18	A IBN out of Florida.
19	Q Say it again.
20	A It's IBN, that's the acronym. I believe it stands
21	for International Broadcast Network and it's a news-talk
22	format.
23	Q For a moment I thought you said IBM.
24	A No. Not yet.
25	Q I thought they were in the computer business, not

1	the programming business.
2	A Talking to you in bits and pieces.
3	Q Do you have a contract with IBN?
4	A I don't. Lobster has the contract with them. They
5	got the contract. But I with Schmersal and Lobster since
6	we redid this agreement I said this hearing is not going to be
7	over until April and he's got other things he's got to work
8	out. He's trying to obtain some rights to some other talk
9	show networks to mix in with it and I'm not quite sure. He
10	also has to apparently there is some production equipment
11	he wants to get that he's in the process of getting that he's
12	putting into it and he didn't have it so he was agreeable to
13	push this off till the first of, first of April.
14	Q So, if I understand correctly, the contract to
15	provide programming for CAVAN's AM station is between
16	International Broadcast Network, IBN
17	A Um-hum.
18	Q and the Lobster Company?
19	A That's right. I mean, it's a, it's a very informal
20	arrangement. I mean, I've got he's let me use that because
21	his agreement is not going to start until the, until, until
22	the first another
23	Q He meaning who?
24	A Mr. Schmersal, Lobster, I'm sorry.
25	Q Is the contract between IBN and Lobster, is that

1	contract in writing?
2	A I don't know. I've not seen it.
3	Q Do you know the terms of that contract?
4	A I don't.
5	Q You don't know how what, what the length of it
6	is?
7	A No, I don't.
8	Q And what is the nature of your present relationship,
9	that is, CAVAN's present relationship, with Lobster?
10	A They're, they're the contract party in the, in the
11	LMA.
12	Q Is the LMA effective now?
13	A No. It's the first it becomes effective the
14	first of April.
15	Q Well, what my question is, what is CAVAN's
16	relationship with Lobster now?
17	A Well, it's an executory contract. We're parties to
18	the same contract. It becomes effective, it becomes effective
19	in another week or so. I I mean, I, I'm not sure what
20	you're getting at.
21	Q I'm just asking a question. I'm not getting at
22	anything. I'm looking for a response.
23	A We're two parties to a contract.
24	Q That has not gone into effect yet?
25	A That's correct it's just executory. It's an

1	effective	contract but is an executory contract.
2	Q	Do you know how long the AM station will continue
3	carrying	the programming from IBN?
4	A	No, I mean, I don't.
5	Q	Is the AM station being operated out of the same
6	building	with the FM station?
7	A	Yes, that's correct.
8	Q	Does the AM station have a main studio?
9	A	Yes, it does.
10	Q	And where is the main studio located?
11	A	At 160 Airport Drive in Presque Isle, Maine.
12	Q	Is that the same location as the FM studio?
13	A	Yes. They're, they're co-located in the same
14	building.	
15	Q	Does the AM station's main studio have program
16	originati	on capability?
17	A	Yes, indeed it does. Had to rebuild the boards for
18	it. Stil	.1
19	Q	I'm sorry?
20	A	They had to rebuild the board.
21	Q	Now, I'm talking only about the AM station.
22	A	Um-hum.
23	Q	Who's employed to operate the AM station now?
24	A	Andy Rebshur.
25	Q	Can you spell that?

1	A	R-E-B-S-H-U-R, who is our, who is our chief.
2	And	
3	Q	Chief engineer?
4	A	Yeah. And a woman named Mary Mayo, M-A-Y-O.
5	Q	And who is Mary Mayo?
6	A	She's, she's been our general manager.
7	Q	For the FM station?
8	A	General manager for the station. Yes. The AM and
9	the FM st	ation. They function in both capacities.
10	Q	Are there any other employees for the AM station?
11	A	Only on part-time basis, technical work and what-
12	not. I j	ust don't their names escape me.
13	Q	Is Mr. Rebshur, your, your chief engineer
14	A	Um-hum.
15	Q	is he also the FM station's chief engineer?
16	A	That's correct.
17	Q	Are there any individuals working for the AM station
18	now who d	o not also work for the FM station?
19		MR. HUTTON: I object on grounds of relevance. I
20	don't und	erstand what the staffing of the station has to do
21	with	
22		MR. SCHONMAN: Well, would you like a reply, Your
23	Honor?	
24		JUDGE LUTON: Yes.
25		MR. SCHONMAN: Your Honor, issue number one asks us
22 23 24		JUDGE LUTON: Yes.

expeditiously resume broadcast operations and I think the extent to which it has hired a staff, the extent to which it's in compliance with various Commission's rules go to whether CAVAN has satisfied this first issue. If CAVAN is simply planning to put the station on for a few days for the purpose of this hearing as opposed to putting it on on a more permanent basis that would be very relevant. JUDGE LUTON: All right. That's enough. MR. SCHONMAN: And the staffing of the station is goes to that matter. JUDGE LUTON: I understand. Overruled. WITNESS: And your question again, sir? BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	1	to determine whether CAVAN has the capability and intent to
extent to which it has hired a staff, the extent to which it's in compliance with various Commission's rules go to whether CAVAN has satisfied this first issue. If CAVAN is simply planning to put the station on for a few days for the purpose of this hearing as opposed to putting it on on a more permanent basis that would be very relevant. JUDGE LUTON: All right. That's enough. MR. SCHONMAN: And the staffing of the station is goes to that matter. JUDGE LUTON: I understand. Overruled. WITNESS: And your question again, sir? BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	2	
in compliance with various Commission's rules go to whether CAVAN has satisfied this first issue. If CAVAN is simply planning to put the station on for a few days for the purpose of this hearing as opposed to putting it on on a more permanent basis that would be very relevant. JUDGE LUTON: All right. That's enough. MR. SCHONMAN: And the staffing of the station is goes to that matter. JUDGE LUTON: I understand. Overruled. WITNESS: And your question again, sir? BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working		•
CAVAN has satisfied this first issue. If CAVAN is simply planning to put the station on for a few days for the purpose of this hearing as opposed to putting it on on a more permanent basis that would be very relevant. JUDGE LUTON: All right. That's enough. MR. SCHONMAN: And the staffing of the station is goes to that matter. JUDGE LUTON: I understand. Overruled. WITNESS: And your question again, sir? BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	_	
planning to put the station on for a few days for the purpose of this hearing as opposed to putting it on on a more permanent basis that would be very relevant. JUDGE LUTON: All right. That's enough. MR. SCHONMAN: And the staffing of the station is goes to that matter. JUDGE LUTON: I understand. Overruled. WITNESS: And your question again, sir? BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working		
of this hearing as opposed to putting it on on a more permanent basis that would be very relevant. JUDGE LUTON: All right. That's enough. MR. SCHONMAN: And the staffing of the station is goes to that matter. JUDGE LUTON: I understand. Overruled. WITNESS: And your question again, sir? BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working		
permanent basis that would be very relevant. JUDGE LUTON: All right. That's enough. MR. SCHONMAN: And the staffing of the station is goes to that matter. JUDGE LUTON: I understand. Overruled. WITNESS: And your question again, sir? BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	6	planning to put the station on for a few days for the purpose
JUDGE LUTON: All right. That's enough. MR. SCHONMAN: And the staffing of the station is goes to that matter. JUDGE LUTON: I understand. Overruled. WITNESS: And your question again, sir? BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	7	of this hearing as opposed to putting it on on a more
MR. SCHONMAN: And the staffing of the station is goes to that matter. JUDGE LUTON: I understand. Overruled. WITNESS: And your question again, sir? BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	8	permanent basis that would be very relevant.
JUDGE LUTON: I understand. Overruled. WITNESS: And your question again, sir? BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	9	JUDGE LUTON: All right. That's enough.
JUDGE LUTON: I understand. Overruled. WITNESS: And your question again, sir? BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	10	MR. SCHONMAN: And the staffing of the station is
BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	11	goes to that matter.
BY MR. SCHONMAN: Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	12	JUDGE LUTON: I understand. Overruled.
Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	13	WITNESS: And your question again, sir?
who are not also employees of the FM station? A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	14	BY MR. SCHONMAN:
A There are two other individual but they're not my employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	15	Q Are there any employees who work for the AM station
employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	16	who are not also employees of the FM station?
production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	17	A There are two other individual but they're not my
Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're full time but they're not my employees but they're working	18	employees. They're going to be employed by, by Lobster who do
21 full time but they're not my employees but they're working	19	production, sales, etc., and their names are Matthew, Matthew
	20	Nelson and a gentleman named Chris Putnam and they're, they're
22 there in anticipation of, of the station	21	full time but they're not my employees but they're working
	22	there in anticipation of, of the station
Q They're are they currently deriving their	23	Q They're are they currently deriving their
24 salaries from Lobster	24	salaries from Lobster
25 A Yes.	25	A Yes.

1	Q	or from CAVAN?
2	A	No, from Lobster.
3	Q	Who at the station is that is, the AM station, is
4	responsil	ole for compliance with the Commission's EEO
5	requireme	ents?
6	A	Mary Mayo.
7	Q	Is she also the individual in charge of maintaining
8	the stat:	ion's public inspection file?
9	A	Yes, she is.
10	Q	Does the station have a public inspection file?
11	A	They sure do.
12	Q	Does CAVAN have an operation budget for the AM
13	station?	
14	A	A written one?
15	Q	Yes.
16	A	No, none that I know of. We did an estimate as to
17	what is w	was we put figures together as to what it was going
18	to take 1	to put it back on the air and the functions CAVAN
19	both 1	Mr. Rebshur and Ms. Mayo are full time and there's no
20	addition	al monies needed to increase their I mean, they
21	an increa	ase in salary because they took on the function of
22	overseei	ng the, of overseeing the AM.
23	Q	When you say that we, we meaning
24	A	Well
25	Q	I don't know what you're talking about.

1	A we, CAVAN.
2	Q So, CAVAN does not have a written operating budget
3	for its, for its AM station?
4	A No, it does not.
5	JUDGE LUTON: I think we ought to take our luncheon
6	recess. I'm prepared to recess now and return at 1:30. You
7	have a fair amount more to go I take it.
8	MR. SCHONMAN: Well, fair.
9	JUDGE LUTON: Fair amount. All right. We'll recess
10	
11	MR. SCHONMAN: We'll be able to go home today I'm
12	sure.
13	JUDGE LUTON: Okay. Let's return at 1:30 this
14	afternoon. Continued.
15	(Whereupon, off the record for lunch at 12:25 p.m.
16	to reconvene at 1:35 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	JUDGE LUTON: Let us continue with the cross-
3	examination of Mr. Monahan.
4	BY MR. SCHONMAN:
5	Q Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Monahan, does CAVAN have
6	any sort of advertising budget for promoting the fact that a
7	"news station" is on the air in Presque Isle, Maine?
8	A CAVAN doesn't, but, but Lobster is undertaking a
9	promotional campaign. I, I don't know if it's appeared in the
10	paper yet or not.
11	Q Let's turn to the local marketing agreement with
12	Lobster which is the revised attachment K to your direct
13	testimony.
14	A Um-hum.
15	Q And I believe there is a provision somewhere in this
16	agreement for Lobster to purchase the AM station from CAVAN.
17	Is that correct?
18	A Yes. Page 9, section 10.
19	Q Why is that provision part of this LMA?
20	A I could have done it as a separate one. I, I put it
21	in here. They have, they have to make the election to do it.
22	They have a year to do it. I would anticipate that if they
23	make the election it will be done under, under a separate
24	purchase agreement. But, you know, the whole, the whole idea
25	was that, that Lobster went into this wanting the, the

100

opportunity to buy the thing. I think they probably are using 2 this one year -- up to one-year period to get a feel for the 3 market and see how well they do with --4 And did CAVAN go into this with the feeling that it wanted to sell the station? 5 Α You mean this LMA? 6 7 Q Yes. 8 Yes, we did. I mean, our goal was to sell the 9 station and this is -- this was the only vehicle we could come 10 up with where we could find a way to ultimately sell the 11 station to, to a buyer. 12 Q Now, I notice that the, the termination provisions 13 on page 2 --14 Α Yes. 15 -- provide that either CAVAN or Lobster can 0 16 terminate the, the agreement within 90 days upon written 17 And my question for you is, if the LMA were 18 terminated for any reason --19 Α Um-hum. 20 -- how would CAVAN operate the station? 21 We haven't had to confront that yet, but the -- you 22 know, we've now got over ten grand into the thing. I would --23 you know, I'm only speculating what would happen. 24 I've got confidence in, in Schmersal and his group. He's pre-25 sold the thing to would-be advertisers and he's had --

apparently he's had some pretty good success with it. 2 know, unless he screws it up somehow or does something with 3 his programming that we don't like, you know, I'm not anticipating that it would happen, but if it did happen how 4 would we do it? We don't have a -- what you call a fall-back 5 or a contingency plan to do it, but having been through this 6 7 process I ain't about to take it off the air and have to incur this trouble again. 8 Well, I understand that you, you -- that's not your 9 intentions, but if the LMA were to somehow be terminated would 10 11 CAVAN had the, the capability of operating the station? 12 Α Would they have the capability of operating? Only 13 if the shareholders go out and get the money to do it. Ι 14 mean, unless, unless we could come up with an alternative to, to make it self-sustained, self-sustaining with sales. 15 Now, 16 the -- frankly, I have been pleasantly surprised with Lobster's efforts to get the community back into it. 17 18 in part it's the idea it's returning to this WEGP. It's the 19 -- now it's the only AM station that's operating in the 20 That market has lost, has lost two AM stations and market. 21 the one in Monticello -- there's an AM station in Monticello, 22 I'm not sure whether that's back on the or not. But there 23 were -- traditionally there have been four AM stations in that 24 market and all of them have had trouble. WAGM went off the air permanently, I don't know, two, three years ago, maybe

even longer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q Where is that located, or was located?
- A AGM was in Presque Isle. It was licensed to Presque Isle. The, the -- but I know that they definitely turned the license in on that one. And there was a WFST was a day-timer station, 600, 610, low on the dial that --
 - Q Presque Isle?

That's -- no, that's Caribou. This is the adjoining community maybe 10 miles away. That went off the air but it has since been sold to a religious group and the religious group is in the process of putting it back on the air. think they had to find a studio space or something like that. And then there's the station in Monticello which was WREM and I don't know if it's off the air now or not, but it has been off the air back and forth. The station has been subject to a lot of problems with the Commission. But I believe right now we may be the only operating AM station which because of that unique circumstances may give it more economic appeal in that There's an older, there's an older demographic in community. The, the idea of this news-talk the Presque Isle market. format which nationally there are shows which are used by AM stations in which done right appears can, can make a go of.

- Q But you no idea how long Lobster is going to carry that programming. Is that correct?
- A I don't know the term of their contract with them.

1	Typically, those contracts are two to seven years when you
2	sign up for them. I don't but, you know, I don't know what
3	the term is on that.
4	Q Where is that network located?
5	A Out of Florida. I but I don't know the city. I
6	know it's a Florida-based satellite network.
7	Q Does it broadcast the programming from other
8	stations or does it
9	A No, it
10	Q originate programming?
11	A it originates programming, packages that sends it
12	up by satellite and it's satellite-received.
13	Q I just want to make sure I understand your
14	testimony. If the LMA for any reason was terminated, CAVAN
15	does not have the capability or would not have the capability
16	to operate the station? Is that correct?
17	A Right now in and of itself there are there is not
18	financial resources to keep that aboard unless the
19	shareholders were to dig into their pockets or secure funds to
20	do that.
21	Q And that's
22	A We have not made an alternative plan to, to put it
23	on ourselves.
24	Q I'm sorry. I missed the last part.
25	A We have not made an alternative plan to put it on

1 |ourselves should, should Lobster not succeed.

- 2 | Q In your direct testimony on the very last page, page
- 3 9, you state that, "As the financial statements and tax
- 4 returns for the station evidence show, the combined losses of
- 5 CAVAN are now approximately \$600,000."
- 6 A Um-hum.
- 7 Q Do you see that?
- 8 A Well, I know that's -- the figure is roughly in the
- 9 ball park.
- 10 Q And my question for you is what is your basis for
- 11 | that \$600,000 figure?
- 12 A My partner here is an accountant -- better to answer
- 13 that than I, but it's --
- 14 0 You're the witness.
- 15 A Yeah, well, I -- it's, it's off the, off the balance
- 16 | sheets that have regularly prepared. We've accrued -- we've
- 17 had the station for seven years. Seven? Yeah, seven -- close
- 18 to seven years and we, we bought the stations and five months
- 19 after we bought them our manager which was a key, key
- 20 | ingredient of going into it was experienced -- left. And
- 21 since that time we have, we have suffered an inability to get
- 22 | what I call key management to run the stations properly. So,
- 23 | we have had -- we have lost money every year. This year, this
- 24 year, and I think it shows in one of the -- in the balance
- 25 | sheet, '93, under an LMA arrangement where the income stream

was from the payment we were getting, we -- I think we showed a net income of \$5,000. But that's a little bit deceptive 2 3 because we're still paying off tremendous amount of debt that 4 had been built up in, in payables, just operating payables, in 5 the losses which have been incurred over, over the, over the past six-and-a-half years of operation. 6 7 0 Well, I'm not an accountant either. So perhaps you 8 can guide me through the financial statements that you've 9 provided --10 Α Sure. 11 -- and help explain to me where the \$600,000 figure 12 comes from. 13 A Tom, do you have a set of the financial statements there? 14 15 Q And that's Exhibit N to your direct testimony. 16 sorry, attachment N. 17 The -- there's a balance sheet of 1993. If you have A 18 the balance sheet from 1993 there --19 Who prepared this, by the way? 0 Yes. 20 Α Mr. Hampshire. 21 Can you identify Mr. Hampshire, please? 0 22 Mr. Hampshire is sitting here in the room. A 23 His first name and last name? Q 24 Walter S. Hampshire. Α 25 He is also a shareholder of CAVAN? Q

That's correct. And he's, he's an accountant by, by 2 profession so that's why he does the books. I'm pointing down 3 there in the parenthesis at the bottom of -- this is a balance sheet of, of 1993 which says, says, "Retained earnings minus \$603,277." 5 What does that mean, retained earnings? 6 7 Well, I'd have to defer. It's been explained to me that that's the losses we're incurred to date and it's a minus 8 9 figure rather than a positive figure. 10 Losses incurred since when to your knowledge? 11 October of, of 1987. Α This is not an audited financial statement is it? 12 0 No, it's not. Can't afford those. 13 A 14 And it's that figure, the \$603,277 which is the Q 15 basis for your \$600,000 figure in your direct testimony? That's correct. 16 Α And I see on the next page the net income to which 17 you were referring earlier, \$5,553 --18 19 That's correct. 20 -- do I take that to mean that CAVAN made money in 21 calendar year 1993? 22 Α As an arithmetic function that's right, 5,553, but I can assure you that money went to pay things like a lot of 23 24 back bills. But what's not reflected here is -- where are 25 they? -- payables. I'm looking for the -- our liabilities.

1	For instance, if you'll look at the 1993 balance sheet you'll
2	see accounts payable which are \$37,000, five-hundred. You
3	know, that's surplus. That \$5,000 surplus has gone in to pay
4	that figure I'm sure if you look at 1992 was larger and
5	those funds were used to whittle that down some.
6	Q The 1992 statement is reflected in the supplemental
7	materials that were provided today. Can you
8	A Correct, and I'm looking here for the, the payable
9	amount there. Yeah, the you'll notice the accounts payable
LO	at the end of December of '92 was around \$54,000.
11	Q So, last year the accounts payable went down from
12	54,201
13	A Right.
14	Q down to 37,532?
15	A Right. Some of those I don't some of those
16	were done probably with negotiated settlement out or, you
17	know, people agreed to accept so much money on the buck.
18	Wasn't that it was all dollar-for-dollar paying although some
19	of it has been that too.
20	Q Does CAVAN any have any projected balance sheets?
21	A No, we I don't. We haven't done any, no.
22	Q In the supplemental sheets would you turn to the
23	page aren't numbered so let me do my best here to direct your
24	attention to the 1992 Form 1120-S.
25	A Yes.

1	Q On the first page of that form, 1992 returns,
2	there's no signature at the bottom. Was this form filed with
3	the IRS?
4	A Well, I'm sure it was. I if you Mr. Hampshire
5	prepares and files these and I this is a copy of this is
6	a file copy of it. But yes, I
7	Q Your copy doesn't have a signature on it does it
8	A No, it does not.
9	Q because mine does not either.
10	A No.
11	Q Similarly, the 1993 Form 1120-S doesn't have a
12	signature on the first page of that return either.
13	A That's, that's right, it, it does not have one. But
14	it's my understanding the return has been executed and filed
15	with the IRS because I believe these have to be filed
16	instead of April 15th, they have to be filed on March 15th and
17	they've been filed.
18	Q Now, the tax returns wouldn't reflect the \$600,000-
19	plus figure would it?
20	A No, that's, that's something that's what a
21	balance sheet will show for you. Well, I take that back. Let
22	me, let me having looked here a little closer I do believe
23	that it does in one of the schedules. For instance, the 1992
24	schedule
25	Q Just a minute.